



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

Paper No. 14

**RICHARD F. GIUNTA**  
C/O WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.  
FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA  
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE  
BOSTON MA 02210-2211

**COPY MAILED**

**FEB 25 2003**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Kevin J. Dowling et al  
Application No. 09/805,590  
Filed: March 13, 2001  
Attorney Docket No. C01104/70016

: DECISION ON PETITION  
: UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) AND  
: UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6)

:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), filed October 15, 2002, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §120 for the benefit of prior-filed nonprovisional applications, and on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) for the claim under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed provisional applications set forth in the amendment filed October 15, 2002.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Any nonprovisional application or international application designating the United States of America claiming the benefit of one or more prior-filed copending applications or international applications designating the United States of America must contain or be amended to contain a reference (amendment to the first line of the specification following the title or in an application data sheet (ADS)) to each such prior-filed application, identifying it by application number (consisting of the series code and serial number) or international application number and international filing date filing date and indicating the relationship of the applications. Cross references to other related applications may be made when appropriate (see § 1.14).

- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The instant petition does not comply with item (1).

The instant application was filed on March 13, 2001 and was pending at the time of filing of the instant petition.

The reference to the prior-filed nonprovisionals applications was not included in the manner specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) (i.e., in an ADS or in an amendment to the first sentence following the title of the specification) or filed within the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii).

As to the benefit claim under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6):

A petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and must be filed during the pendency of the nonprovisional application. In addition, the petition must be accompanied by:

- (1) the surcharge set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(t);
- (2) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional; and
- (3) the reference to the prior filed provisional application supplied in an application data sheet (ADS)37 CFR 1.76) or as an amendment in the first sentence of the specification following the title. See 35 U.S.C. §119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(i). The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The reference to the, prior-filed provisional applications was not included in the manner specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(i) (i.e., in an ADS or in an amendment to the first sentence following the title of the specification) or filed within the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii).

The instant petition does not comply with item (3).

37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) requires that any nonprovisional application claiming the benefit of one or more prior-filed copending nonprovisional applications must contain or be amended to contain a reference to each such prior-filed application, identifying it by application number (consisting of the series code and serial number) and indicating the relationship of the applications. The relationship between the applications is whether the subject application is a continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part of a prior-filed nonprovisional application. An example of a proper benefit claim is: "This application is a continuation of Application No. 10/---, filed---." A benefit claim that merely states: "This application claims the benefit of Application No. 10/---, filed---," does not comply with 37 CFR 1.72(a)(2)(i) since the proper relationship, which includes the type of continuing application, is not stated. Also, the status of each nonprovisional parent application (if it is patented or abandoned) should also be indicated, following the filing date of the parent nonprovisional application. See Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, 8th ed., (August 2001), Section 201.11, Reference to First Application. The amendment filed October 15, 2002 fails to state the relationship of Application No. 08/920,156, filed August 26, 1997, Application No. 09/425,770, filed October 22, 1999 and Application No. 09/213,548, filed December 17, 1998, to the instant application. Additionally, the amendment of October 15, 2002 fails to provide an identification of the intermediate nonprovisional application that is directly claiming the benefit of the provisional application(s). Petitioner should keep in mind that an incorporation-by-reference statement to the prior-filed applications is inappropriate where the incorporation-by-reference statement was not present on filing.

For the above-noted reason, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) cannot be granted.

**In order to expedite consideration, petitioner may wish to submit the substitute amendment by facsimile transmission to the number indicated below and to the attention of Karen Creasy .**

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:                   U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
                                 P.O. Box 2327  
                                 Mailstop DAC  
                                 Arlington, VA 22202

OR

Commissioner for Patents  
Box DAC  
Washington, D.C. 20231

By FAX: (703) 308-6916  
Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand: Crystal Plaza Four, Suite 3C23  
2201 South Clark Place  
Arlington, VA 22202

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to Karen Creasy at (703) 305-8859.

*Frances Hicks*  
Frances Hicks  
Lead Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy